



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,468	05/07/2004	Bill Yang	13050-US-PA	3467
31561	7590 08/07/2006		EXAMINER	
JIANQ CHY	UN INTELLECTUAL P	LUKS, JEREMY AUSTIN		
7 FLOOR-1,	NO. 100			0.050.00.050
ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIPEI, 100			2837	
TAIWAN				

DATE MAILED: 08/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/709,468	YANG, BILL		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jeremy Luks	2837		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinude vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 M</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. r election requirement. er. epted or b) □ objected to by the legacing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obtained.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the second state of the second s	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	pate		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-2, 6-7 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hawker (5,790,679). Hawker teaches a speaker module (Figure 3, #26) (Col. 3, Lines 31-34), suitable for a handheld electronic device (Col. 2, Lines 1-13), comprising a speaker module frame (28) having a main portion having an accommodating hole (34), said accommodating hole accommodating a microspeaker (Figures 1 and 3, #20); and an extending portion (Examiner is referring to the portions of frame #28 (Figure 3) that extend left and right beyond accommodating hole #34), extending from a side of said main portion to form a fixed resonance space (30) for said microspeaker (20); a front cover (36), disposed at a first side of said speaker module frame, a front sound enclosure (labeled front enclosure) being formed between said front cover (36) and said speaker module frame (28), defining the resonance space (30), said front cover (36) having a plurality of tone holes (40, 42); and a rear cover (38), disposed at a second side of said module frame (28), said second side being opposite to said first side, a rear sound enclosure (labeled back enclosure) being formed

Art Unit: 2837

between said rear cover (38) and said speaker module frame (28); and wherein the area of resonance space (30) is larger than the area of said microspeaker (20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawker (5,790,679) in view of Dyer (6,688,421). Hawker is relied upon for the reasons and disclosures set forth above. Hawker fails to teach wherein said speaker module frame includes a plurality of positioning slices extending from a sidewall of said accommodating hole to a center of said accommodating hole for positioning said microspeaker. Dyer teaches a speaker module frame (Figure 3, #101) including a plurality of positioning slices (350) extending from a sidewall of said accommodating hole (104) to a center of said accommodating hole (104) for positioning a speaker (Col. 4, Lines 21-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the apparatus of Hawker, with the apparatus of Dyer to more securely hold the speaker within the module, while allowing for easier insertion and removal if a replacement speaker is needed.

3. Claims 4-5, 9-10 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawker (5,790,679) in view of Croft (2002/0191808). Hawker is

relied upon for the reasons and disclosures set forth above including a microspeaker (Figure 3, #20). Hawker fails to teach wherein said microspeaker includes a speaker vibration film having a coil and a magnetic loop. Croft teaches a speaker including a speaker vibration film (Figure 5, #21) having a coil (26) (Page 10, [0129]) and a magnetic loop (Figure 26, #40) (Page 13, [0156]).). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the apparatus of Hawker, with the apparatus of Croft to increase the efficiency of the speaker and therefore create a reduction in power requirements allowing for high acoustic outputs in a smaller size with out prematurely reaching thermal limits.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 4. applicant's disclosure. Pertinent arts of record relating to electronic devices with speaker modules therein are disclosed in the PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy Luks whose telephone number is (571) 272-2707. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30-6:00, and alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan can be reached on (571) 272-1988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeremy Luks
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2837

LINGOL WOONOVAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER